

Intensional Logic and Two-sorted Type Theory

by

T.E.Zimmermann, Tübingen

1. Notation

Types

$2T$: (*two-sorted types*):

$$2T \rightarrow s; 2T \rightarrow e; 2T \rightarrow t; 2T \rightarrow \langle 2T, 2T \rangle.$$

IT (*intensional types/IL-types*):

$$IT \rightarrow e; IT \rightarrow t; IT \rightarrow \langle IT, IT \rangle; IT \rightarrow \langle s, IT \rangle.$$

IT^+ : $IT \cup \{s\}$.

Languages

$(Ty2_{\tau})_{\tau \in 2T}$ (*two-sorted type theory*):

$(Var_{\tau})_{\tau \in 2T}$ and $(Con_{\tau})_{\tau \in 2T}$ [infinite for each τ] plus:
functional application, λ -abstraction, and identity.

$(IL^+_{\tau})_{\tau \in IT^+}$ (*intensional logic plus world variables*):

$(Var_{\tau})_{\tau \in IT^+}$ and $(Con_{\langle s, \tau \rangle})_{\tau \in IT^+}$ [infinite for each τ] plus:
functional application, λ -abstraction, and identity [all three restricted to IT^+].

$(IL^*_{\tau})_{\tau \in IT^+}$ (*Gallin's IL*):

like IL^+ , except:
- no IL^*_s ($s \notin IT$)
- no type s variables $\neq r$ ($v_{0,s}$).

IL (*Montague's intensional logic*):

[essentially] notational variant of IL^* .

$(Ty2^-)_{\tau \in \Pi^+}$ (*the relevant fragment*)

Ty2 with Π^+ -parameters (free variables and constants).

2-functors:

$(Ty2^-)_{\tau \in 2T \cup \Pi^+}$.

Ontology and semantics

standard; notation:

$(D_\tau)_{\tau \in 2T}$ for the ontology, and

$|\alpha|^{M,g}$ for α 's extension according to the model M and the assignment g .

2. From $Ty2^-$ to Π^+

Theorem I: For any $\alpha \in Ty2^-$ there is an equivalent $\alpha^+ \in \Pi^+$.

Proposition I: If $\alpha \in Ty2^-$ is λ -reduced and β is a 2-functor occurring in α , then either:

- (a) β contains a free variable alien to Π^+ , or:
- (b) β is of the form $(\lambda x \gamma)$.

Corollary: 2-functors occurring in λ -reduced $Ty2^-$ -expressions are either parts of larger 2-functors or immediate parts of equations.

Consequence (for the proof of Theorem I): coding will do.

Sketch of proof:

Step 1: Define a type correspondence $^+$: $2T \rightarrow IT^+$ by:

$$\tau^+ = \tau, \text{ if } \tau \text{ is basic;}$$

$$(\tau s)^+ = (\tau^+(st));$$

$$(\sigma\tau)^+ = (\sigma^+\tau^+), \text{ if } \tau \neq s.$$

Step 2: Define another function $^+$ [sorry!] mapping any object of type $2 \in 2T$ on a *set* of τ^+ -objects

s.th.:

$$U^+ = \emptyset, \text{ for any } U;$$

$$\tau = \tau^+ \Rightarrow U^+ = \{U\};$$

$$U \neq V \Rightarrow U^+ \cap V^+ = \emptyset.$$

Step 3: Define a 3rd $^+$ from $Ty2_{\tau}^-$ to $IL^+_{\tau^+}$.

Step 4: Show that the 3rd $^+$ partially characterizes the second one:

$$\emptyset \neq |\alpha^+| \subseteq |\alpha|^+.$$

Step 5: Use Proposition I to prove Theorem I.

3. From IL^+ to IL^*

Theorem II: If $\alpha \in IL_{\tau}^+$, $\tau \neq s$ and r is the only free variable of type s in α , then there exists an equivalent $\alpha^- \in IL^*_{\tau}$.

Lemma II: If $\tau \in IT$ and $\alpha \in IL^+_{\tau}$, then the following conditions hold:

- (a) α is equivalent to $\alpha_0(\alpha_1)$, and they share the same parameters.
- (b) If $x \in \text{Var}_s$ occurs in α_0 , then x is r .
- (c) If $x \in \text{Var}_s$ occurs in α_1 , then x occurs freely in α_1 and x is not r .

- $\uparrow R$
 $\downarrow R$
 $\uparrow R$
- (1) (a) $R(x)$
 $[\lambda f f(\uparrow)] \quad (\lambda S S(x))$
 (b) $R(x)(r)$
 $[\lambda g g(\lambda f f(\uparrow))(r)] \quad (\lambda G G(\lambda S S(x)))$
 (c) $R(x)(r)(y)$
 $[\lambda h h(\lambda g g(\lambda f f(\uparrow))(r))] \quad (\lambda H H(\lambda G G(\lambda S S(x)))(y))$

- (2) $\lambda r \beta$
 $[\lambda X \lambda r X(\beta_0)] \quad (\lambda Y Y(\beta_1))$

- (3) If $x \in \text{Var}_s \setminus \{r\}$, then α_0 is:
 $(\lambda f (\lambda g (\lambda r g (f (\beta_1 [x/r]_0)))) (\beta_0))$

and α_1 is:

$$\lambda h h (\beta_1 [x/r]_1),$$

where ' $[x/r]$ ' denotes the replacement of all free occurrences of x by r , f is of type $((\sigma(\beta_1[x/r])\sigma(\beta))(\sigma(\beta))) (=:\sigma(\alpha))$, and g and h are new variables of types $(\sigma(\beta)\tau)$, and $(\sigma(\beta_1[x/r])\sigma(\beta))$, respectively.

4. Conclusions

Theorem III: If $\alpha \in \text{Ty2}^-$, $\alpha \neq r$ and $\text{FV}_s(\alpha) = \{r\}$, then α is equivalent to an IL^* -expression.

References

Gallin, Daniel: *Intensional and Higher-order Modal Logic*. Amsterdam 1975.

Montague, Richard : Universal grammar. *Theoria* 36 (1970), 373-98.