

## 1. Background

- (1a) John seeks a unicorn. surface  
 (b)  $\mathbb{P}(\Delta_{John}, \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn})$  unspecific  
 (c)  $\mathbb{Q}(\Delta_{a\ unicorn}, \Delta_{John\ seeks\ \_})$  specific

$$(2) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{F}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \rrbracket^{\wedge} = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^{\wedge}, \dots, \llbracket \Delta_n \rrbracket^{\wedge})$$

$$(3) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{P} \rrbracket(x, P)(i) = P_i(x_i) \quad \text{= } P(i)(x(i))$$

$$(4) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{P}(\Delta_{John}, \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn}) \rrbracket^i = 1$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \mathbb{P}(\Delta_{John}, \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn}) \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i) = 1 \quad \text{notation: } \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \mathbb{P} \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{John} \rrbracket^{\wedge}, \llbracket \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^{\wedge})(i) = 1 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)(\llbracket \Delta_{John} \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)) = 1 \quad (3)$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^i(\llbracket \Delta_{John} \rrbracket^i) = 1 \quad \text{notation}$$

$$(5) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{Q} \rrbracket(R, Q)(i) = R_i(Q),$$

$$(6) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{P}(\Delta_{John}, \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn}) \rrbracket^i = 1$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)(\llbracket \Delta_{John} \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)) = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \mathbb{Q}(\Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn}, \Delta_{a\ unicorn}) \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)(\llbracket \Delta_{John} \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)) = 1 \quad \text{def. } \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn}$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \mathbb{Q} \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^{\wedge}, \llbracket \Delta_{a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^{\wedge})(i)(\llbracket \Delta_{John} \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)) = 1 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)(\llbracket \Delta_{a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^{\wedge})(\llbracket \Delta_{John} \rrbracket^{\wedge}(i)) = 1 \quad (5)$$

$$\text{iff } \llbracket \Delta_{seeks\ a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^i(\llbracket \Delta_{John} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \Delta_{a\ unicorn} \rrbracket^{\wedge}) = 1 \quad \text{notation}$$

## 2. Extensional compositionality

$$(7) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{P}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \Delta_2 \rrbracket^i(\llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^i) \quad \text{by (2) \& (3)}$$

$$(8) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{Q}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^i(\llbracket \Delta_2 \rrbracket^{\wedge}) \quad \text{by (5) \& (3)}$$

### (9) Definition

A construction  $\mathbb{F}$  (of  $n$  places) is *extensional* iff, at any point  $i \in D_s$ , the extension of an expression of the form  $\mathbb{F}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$  at  $i$ , is determined by the extensions of its immediate parts at  $i$ , i.e.:

- $\llbracket \mathbb{F}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \mathbb{F}(\Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n) \rrbracket^i$  whenever  $\llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \Delta'_1 \rrbracket^i, \dots, \llbracket \Delta_n \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \Delta'_n \rrbracket^i$  for any (appropriate) expressions  $\Delta_1, \Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta_n, \Delta'_n$ .

### (10) Definition

A semantic operation  $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket$  is *extensional* iff for any  $i \in D_s$ :

- $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  whenever  $x_1(i) = x'_1(i), \dots, x_n(i) = x'_n(i)$  for any (appropriate) intensions  $x_1, x'_1, \dots, x_n, x'_n$ .

(11) *Facts*

(a)  $\mathbb{F}$  is extensional iff for any  $i \in D_s$  there is an operation  $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket^i$  such that

- $\llbracket \mathbb{F}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket^i(\llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^i, \dots, \llbracket \Delta_n \rrbracket^i)$ ,  
for any (appropriate) expressions  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ .

(b)  $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket$  is extensional iff for any  $i \in D_s$  there is an operation  $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket^i$  such that

- $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_n)(i) = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket^i(x_1(i), \dots, x_n(i))$   
for any (appropriate) intensions  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

(12) *Definition*

A semantic operation  $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket$  is *uniformly extensional* iff there is an operation  $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket_*$  such that, for any  $i \in D_s$ :

- $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_n)(i) = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket_*(x_1(i), \dots, x_n(i))$   
for any (appropriate) intensions  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

$$(13) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{F}^\# \rrbracket(p, q)(i) = \begin{cases} \min(p(i), q(i)), & \text{if } i \neq i^\# \\ \max(p(i^\#), q(i^\#)), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(14) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{F}^{\#\#} \rrbracket(\varphi, \psi)(i)(j) = \begin{cases} \max(\varphi(j), \psi(j)), & \text{if } \varphi(i) = \psi(i) = 1 \\ \min(\varphi(j), \psi(j)), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### 3. *Fregean Intensionality*

(15a) John thinks that Mary is sick.  $\Leftrightarrow$

(b) John thinks that  $2+2=5$ . Frege's (1892) substitution argument

(16a) John seeks a French restaurant that serves bouillabaisse.  $\stackrel{?}{\Leftrightarrow}$

(b) John seeks a French restaurant.

(17a) John seeks a book on Mary's life.  $\Leftrightarrow$

(b) John seeks a movie on Mary's life.

$$(18a) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{F}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \rrbracket^\wedge = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \Delta_2 \rrbracket^\wedge)$$

[intensional] compositionality

$$(b) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{F}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket_*(\llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \Delta_2 \rrbracket^i)$$

[uniform] extensionality

$$(c) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{F}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \rrbracket^i = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket_+(\llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \Delta_2 \rrbracket^\wedge)$$

Fregean intensionality

(19) *Definition*

An  $n$ -place semantic operation  $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket$  is (*uniformly*) *extensional in  $K$*  ( $\subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) iff there is an operation  $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket_+$  such that, for any  $i \in D_s$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$

- $\llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_n)(i) = \llbracket \mathbb{F} \rrbracket_+(X_1^{[i]}, \dots, X_n^{[i]})$   
where (for  $k \leq n$ ):  $X_k^{[i]} = \begin{cases} x_k(i) & \text{if } i \in K \\ x_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$(20) \quad \llbracket \mathbb{C}_s^\# \rrbracket(p)(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p(i) = p(i^\#) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Zimmermann & Sternefeld (2013: 197, fn. 27)

#### 4. *The Hierarchy of Intensions*

(21a) Syd sees that Emily plays.

(b)  $\Phi(\Delta_{Syd} \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}}))$

(c)  $\llbracket \Phi(\Delta_{Syd} \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}})) \rrbracket^\wedge$   
 $= \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{sees} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}} \rrbracket^\wedge))$

(d)  $\llbracket \Phi(\Delta_{Syd} \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}})) \rrbracket^i$   
 $= \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket * (\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket_+(\llbracket \Delta_{sees} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}} \rrbracket^\wedge))$

(22a) Syd sees that Syd sees that Emily plays.

(b)  $\Phi(\Delta_{Syd}, \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Phi(\Delta_{Syd} \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}}))))$

(c)  $\llbracket \Phi(\Delta_{Syd} \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Phi(\Delta_{Syd} \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}})))) \rrbracket^\wedge$   
 $= \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(\llbracket \Phi \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{sees} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}} \rrbracket^\wedge))))$

(d)  $\llbracket \Phi(\Delta_{Syd} \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Phi(\Delta_{Syd} \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{sees}, \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}})))) \rrbracket^i$   
 $= \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket * (\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket_+(\llbracket \Delta_{sees} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \Delta_{Syd \text{ sees that Emily plays}} \rrbracket^\wedge))$   
 $= \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket * (\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket_+(\llbracket \Delta_{sees} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{sees} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}} \rrbracket^\wedge))))$   
 $\stackrel{?}{=} \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket * (\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket_+(\llbracket \Delta_{sees} \rrbracket^i, \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket(\llbracket \Delta_{Syd} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket^\wedge(\llbracket \Delta_{sees} \rrbracket^\wedge, \llbracket \Delta_{Emily \text{ plays}} \rrbracket^\wedge))))$

(18d)  $\llbracket \mathcal{A}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \rrbracket^k = \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket_+^k(\llbracket \Delta_1 \rrbracket^k, \llbracket \Delta_2 \rrbracket^{k+1})$

Baroque compositionality

(23) *Notation*

- $\wedge^0 \alpha = \alpha$
- $\wedge^{n+1} \alpha = [\wedge^n \alpha]$   $= [\wedge^n \wedge \alpha]$
- $\vee^0 \alpha = \alpha$
- $\vee^{n+1} \alpha = [\vee^n \alpha]$   $= [\vee^n \vee \alpha]$

•  $\wedge^m \alpha = [\wedge^m (\lambda X. [\wedge^{n-m} X])(\alpha)]$   $0 \leq m \leq n$

•  $\mathbf{A}_{ab}^0 = (\lambda f. \lambda x. f(x))$   $a, b \in IT, f \in Var_{(ab)}, x \in Var_a$

•  $\mathbf{A}_{ab}^{n+1} = (\lambda f. \lambda x. [\mathbf{A}_{ab}^n([\vee^n f])([\vee^n x])])$   $a, b \in IT, f \in Var_{(s^{n+1}(ab))}, x \in Var_{(s^{n+1}a)}$

(24) *Baroque Translation*

$|Emily|^n = \wedge^n \mathbf{e}$

$|plays|^n = \wedge^n \mathbf{P}$

$|Syd \text{ sees that}|^n = \wedge^n \Sigma$

$|Name \text{ Pred}|^n = \wedge^n [{}^\vee^n |Pred|^n]([{}^\vee^n |Name|^n])$

$|Op \text{ Sent}|^n = \wedge^n [{}^\vee^n |Op|^n]([{}^\vee^n |Sent|^{n+1}])$

- (24) *Classical Translation*  
 $|Emily| = \mathbf{e} \quad \in Con_e$   
 $|plays| = \mathbf{P} \quad \in Con_{(et)}$   
 $|Syd\ sees\ that| = \Sigma \quad \in Con_{(st)t}$   
 $|Name\ Pred| = |Pred|( |Name| )$   
 $|Op\ Sent| = |Op|( \wedge |Sent| )$

## 5. *From Frege to Bäuerle*

- (25) George believes that every team player stays in a 5\* hotel. Bäuerle (1983)

- (26)  $\lambda i. F(i)(\lambda j. G(j)(\lambda k. H(i, j, k)))$  Bäuerle constellation

### (27) *Contemporary Translation*

- $|Emily|_{\sim}^n = \wedge^m \mathbf{e} \quad \text{for some } m \leq n$   
 $|plays|_{\sim}^n = \wedge^m \mathbf{P} \quad \text{for some } m \leq n$   
 $|Syd\ sees\ that|_{\sim}^n = \wedge^m \Sigma \quad \text{for some } m \leq n$   
 $|Name\ Pred|_{\sim}^n = \mathbf{A}_{et}^n(|Pred|_{\sim}^n)( |Name|_{\sim}^n )$   
 $|Op\ Sent|_{\sim}^n = \mathbf{A}_{(st)t}^n(|Op|_{\sim}^n)( |Sent|_{\sim}^{n+1} )$

### (28) *Definition*

A lexical expression  $\Delta$  is *trivial* if  $m = n$  in the above equation.

### (29) *Observations*

proofs on request

- (a)  $\llbracket \mathbf{A}_{ab}^n(\alpha)(\beta) \rrbracket^{i_0, g}(i_1) \dots (i_n) = \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{i_0, g}(i_1) \dots (i_n) \langle \llbracket \beta \rrbracket^{i_0, g}(i_1) \dots (i_n) \rangle \quad \alpha \in IL_{(s^n(ab))}, \beta \in IL_{(s^n a)}$   
 (b) If all lexical expressions are trivial, then:

$$\llbracket | \Delta |_{\sim}^n \rrbracket^{i, g} = \llbracket \wedge^n | \Delta | \rrbracket^{i, g} \quad \& \quad \llbracket | \Delta |_{\sim}^0 \rrbracket^{i, g} = \llbracket | \Delta | \rrbracket^{i, g}$$

## *Selected References*

- Bäuerle, Rainer (1983): ‘Pragmatisch-semantische Aspekte der NP-Interpretation’. In: M. Faust *et al.* (eds.), *Allgemeine Sprachwissenschaft, Sprachtypologie und Textlinguistik*. Tübingen. pp. 121–131.  
 Frege, Gottlob (1892): ‘Über Sinn und Bedeutung’. *Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik (NF)* **100**, 25–50.  
 Gallin, Daniel (1975): *Intensional and Higher-order Modal Logic*. Amsterdam.  
 Parsons, Terence (1981): ‘Frege’s Hierarchies of Indirect Senses and the Paradox of Analysis’. In: P. French *et al.* (eds.), *Midwest Studies in Philosophy VI: The Foundations of Analytic Philosophy*. Minneapolis. pp. 37–57.  
 Montague, Richard (1970): ‘Universal Grammar’. *Theoria* **36**, 373–398.  
 Zimmermann, Thomas Ede (1989): ‘Intensional logic and two-sorted type theory’. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* **54**, 65–77.  
 – (1991): ‘Kontextabhängigkeit’. In: A. v. Stechow & D. Wunderlich (eds.), *Semantik. Semantics*. Berlin/New York. pp. 156–229.  
 Zimmermann, Thomas Ede; Sternefeld, Wolfgang (2013): *Introduction to Semantics. An Essential Guide to the Composition of Meaning*. Berlin.