

Relational arguments in (meta-)questions

Ede Zimmermann, Goethe University Frankfurt

1. Underlying (but deceptive) idea: relational noun phrases as donkeys

John knows the price of milk. **Relational**

John knows the price.

John knows the price of y **Contextual**

John knows the price of something. **Set**

John knows every price.

(every x) (x is the price of y) (John knows x is the price of y) **C**

(every x) (x is the price of something) (John knows x is the price of something) **S**

(every x) (x is the price of some thing y) (John knows x is the price of y) **Pair-List**

(every f) (f is the price of something) (John knows f) **Functional**

John knows every price Mary knows.

(every x) (x is the price of some thing y and Mary knows that x is the price of some thing y) (John knows that x is the price of y) **AP**

(every f) (f is the price of something and Mary knows f) (John knows f)

(every x) (Mary knows that x is the price of something) (John knows that x is the price of something) **AS**

(every f) (f is the price of something and Mary knows f) (John knows that Mary knows f) **BP**

(every x) (Mary knows that x is the price of something) (John knows that Mary knows that x is the price of something) **BS**

2. Relevant type shifts

• Donkey type / domain shifts [extensional versions]

$Q(R,S) \Leftrightarrow (Qxy) (R(x,y); S(x,y))$ **symmetric**

$Q(R,S) \Leftrightarrow (Qx) ((\exists y) R(x,y); (\exists y) [R(x,y) \& S(x,y)])$ **existential**

$Q(R,S) \Leftrightarrow (Qx) ((\exists y) R(x,y); (\forall y) [R(x,y) \rightarrow S(x,y)])$ **universal**

• Shifting relational nouns

$price^2: \lambda w_0. \lambda y. \lambda x. \pi_{w_0}(y) = x$

$price^c: \lambda w_0. \lambda x. \pi_{w_0}(y_0) = x$

$price^{\exists}: \lambda w_0. \lambda x. (\exists y) \pi_{w_0}(y) = x$

$price^{\uparrow}: \lambda y. \lambda P. P = \lambda w_0. \lambda x. \pi_{w_0}(y) = x$

$price^{\uparrow\exists}: \lambda P. (\exists y) P = \lambda w_0. \lambda x. \pi_{w_0}(y) = x$

3. Examples

• Basic

every: \forall

every^{sym} price²: $\lambda w_0. \lambda S. (\forall xy) [\pi_{w_0}(y) = x \rightarrow S(w_0)(y)(x)]$

know²: $\lambda w_0. \lambda R. \lambda z. \lambda y. \lambda x. (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^z) [R(w)(y)(z) \leftrightarrow R(w_0)(y)(z)]$

*John knows*² [*price*²]: $\lambda w_0. \lambda y. \lambda x. (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_w(y) = x \leftrightarrow \pi_w(y) = x]$

(*every*^{sym} *price*²) *John knows*² [*price*²]:

P

$$\lambda w_0. (\forall xy) [\pi_w(y) = x \rightarrow (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_w(y) = x \leftrightarrow \pi_w(y) = x]]$$

$$\equiv \lambda w_0. (\forall y) (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_w(y) = \pi_w(y)]$$

$$\equiv \lambda w_0. (\forall y) (\exists x) (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) \pi_w(y) = x$$

(*most*^{sym} *prices*²) *John knows*² [*price*²]:

P

$$\lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } xy) [\pi_w(y) = x; (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_w(y) = x \leftrightarrow \pi_w(y) = x]]$$

$$\equiv \lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } y) (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_w(y) = \pi_w(y)]$$

(*most*^{sym} *addresses*²) *John knows*² [*address*²]:

$$\lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } xy) [A_{w_0}(y)(x); (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [A_w(y)(x) \leftrightarrow A_w(y)(x)]]$$

$$\equiv \lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } xy) [A_{w_0}(y)(x); (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) A_w(y)(x)]$$

(*most*[∃] *prices*²) *John knows*² [*price*²]:

$$\equiv \lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } x) ((\exists y) x = \pi_w(y); (\exists y) [x = \pi_w(y) \ \& \ (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_w(y) = x]])$$

'For most prices x, John knows something that x is a price of'

Unattested ?

(*most*[∀] *prices*²) *John knows*² [*price*²]:

$$\equiv \lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } x) ((\exists y) x = \pi_w(y); (\forall y) [x = \pi_w(y) \rightarrow (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) \pi_w(y) = x])$$

'For most prices x, John knows everything that x is a price of'

U?

(*most*[∃] *addresses*²) *John knows*² [*address*²]:

$$\lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } x) ((\exists y) A_{w_0}(y)(x); (\exists y) [A_{w_0}(y)(x) \ \& \ (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [A_w(y)(x) \leftrightarrow A_{w_0}(y)(x)]])$$

'For most addresses, John knows someone with that address'

?

(*most*[∀] *addresses*²) *John knows*² [*address*²]:

$$\lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } x) ((\exists y) A_{w_0}(y)(x); (\forall y) [A_{w_0}(y)(x) \rightarrow (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [A_w(y)(x) \leftrightarrow A_{w_0}(y)(x)]])$$

'For most addresses, John knows everyone with that address'

?

(*most* *addresses*[∃]) *John knows*¹ [*address*[∃]]:

S

$$\lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } x) ((\exists y) A_{w_0}(y)(x); (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) (\exists y) A_w(y)(x))$$

(*most* *addresses*^c) *John knows*¹ [*address*[∃]]:

✓

$$\lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } x) (A_{w_0}(y_0)(x); (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) A_w(y_0)(x))$$

(*exactly two*^{sym} *addresses*²) *John knows*² [*address*²]:

✓ (?)

$$\equiv \lambda w_0. (\exists_{=2} xy) [A_{w_0}(y)(x) \ \& \ (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) A_w(y)(x)]$$

(*exactly two*[∃] *addresses*²) *John knows*² [*address*²]: **U**
 $\equiv \lambda w_0. (\exists_{=2}x) (\exists y) [A_{w_0}(y)(x) \& (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) A_w(y)(x)]$

'John knows exactly two addresses of the only person of whom he knows more than one address'

(*exactly two*[∀] *addresses*²) *John knows*² [*address*²]: **U**
 $\equiv \lambda w_0. (\exists_{=2}x) (\forall y) [A_{w_0}(y)(x) \rightarrow (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) A_w(y)(x)]$

'There are exactly two addresses all of whose holders John knows to hold these addresses'

• **MISSING READINGS (?) of *John knows exactly two addresses***

$\lambda w_0. (\exists_{=2}y) (\exists x) [A_{w_0}(y)(x) \& (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) A_w(y)(x)]$

$\lambda w_0. (\exists_{=2}y) (\forall x) [A_{w_0}(y)(x) \rightarrow (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) A_w(y)(x)]$

• **Heim's Ambiguity: A-readings**

*Mary knows*² [*price*²]: $\lambda w_0. \lambda y. \lambda x. (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_{w_0}(y) = x \leftrightarrow \pi_w(y) = x]$

*price that Mary knows*² [*price*²]: $\lambda w_0. \lambda y. \lambda x. (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_{w_0}(y) = x \leftrightarrow \pi_w(y) = x]$

every^{sym} *price*² *that Mary knows*² [*price*²]:

$\lambda w_0. \lambda S. (\forall xy) [(\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^m) [\pi_{w_0}(y) = x \leftrightarrow \pi_w(y) = x] \rightarrow S(w_0)(y)(x)]$

(*every*^{sym} *price*² *that Mary knows*² [*price*²]) *John knows*² [*price*²]: **AP**

$\lambda w_0. (\forall xy) [(\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^m) [\pi_{w_0}(y) = x \leftrightarrow \pi_w(y) = x] \rightarrow (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [\pi_{w_0}(y) = x \leftrightarrow \pi_w(y) = x]]$

(*most*^{sym} *addresses that Mary knows*² [*address*²]) *John knows*² [*address*²]:

$\lambda w_0. (\forall xy) [(\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^m) [A_{w_0}(y)(x) \leftrightarrow A_w(y)(x)]; (\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [A_w(y)(x) \leftrightarrow A_{w_0}(y)(x)]]$

etc.

(*most*^{sym} *addresses that Mary knows*¹ [*address*[∃]]) *John knows*¹ [*address*[∃]]: **AS**

$\lambda w_0. (\text{MOST } x) [(\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^m) [(\exists y) A_{w_0}(y)(x) \leftrightarrow (\exists y) A_w(y)(x)];$

$(\forall w \in Epi_{w_0}^j) [(\exists y) A_w(y)(x) \leftrightarrow (\exists y) A_{w_0}(y)(x)]]$

Reference

Percus, Orin: 'The concoaled questions view of concealed questions'. Talk given here yesterday.