

Korrekturen zum Artikel 89 *Context dependence* – mit Dank an Magda Kaufmann, Wolfgang Sternefeld & Dina Voloshina

<i>Auf Seite</i>	<i>... steht:</i>	<i>Es muss aber heißen:</i>
2361, 5. Zeile von unten	may be though of	may be thought of
2362, (6)	$/this/c = \text{DEMONSTRATUM}(\text{SPEAKER}(c))$	$/this/c = \text{DEMONSTRATUM}(c)(\text{SPEAKER}(c))$
2365, Zeile 8	she does know	he does know
2365, 1. Absatz, drittletzte Zeile	of first person pronoun <i>I</i>	of the first person pronoun <i>I</i>
2387, 7. Zeile über (55*)	one needs to ‘dissect’	one needs to ‘dissect’
2393, <i>n</i>)	$- \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^c = \Gamma^c(\llbracket \beta_1 \rrbracket^c, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket^c)$	$- \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^c = \Gamma^c(\llbracket \beta_1 \rrbracket^c, \dots, \llbracket \beta_n \rrbracket^c)$
2394, Zeile 5f.	So intensional construction	So an intensional construction
2394, Zeile 7	relevant to determine the intension	relevant to determine the extension
2398, Zeile 2	where took it to be	where we took it to be
2400, (78), Zeile 2	$\llbracket S_1 \rrbracket^c(s') \neq \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket^c(s')$	$\llbracket S_1 \rrbracket^c(s') \neq \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket^c(s')$
2401, (79), Zeile 1	$\Gamma^*(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)(c)(s) \neq \Gamma^*(\chi_1, \dots, \chi'_1, \dots, \chi_n)(c)(s)$	$\Gamma^*(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_i, \dots, \chi_n)(c)(s) \neq \Gamma^*(\chi_1, \dots, \chi'_i, \dots, \chi_n)(c)(s)$
2401, (79), Zeile 2	$\chi_1(c)(s') \neq \chi'_1(c)(s')$	$\chi_i(c)(s) \neq \chi'_i(c)(s')$
2403, über (80)	by now means	by no means
2403, 1. Zeile nach (80)	(and may meant to be)	(and may be meant to be)

